



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/394,011	09/10/1999	HERMAN LEE BLACKMON	RO999-080 3617		
7	590 07/17/2003	,			
KARUNA OJANEN			EXAMINER		
IBM CORPORATION DEPT 917 3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH ROCHESTER, MN 559017829			VITAL, PI	VITAL, PIERRE M	
ROCHESTER,	MIN 33901/829	•	ART UNIT 4	PAPER NUMBER	
			2188	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/394,011	BLACKMON ET AL.			
ment of the second second	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pierre M. Vital	2188			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THOUSE OF Which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ing date of the final rejection, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) 🔯 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d)they present additional claims without canceline NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:		Reguld 10 Braylon			
		REGINALD G. BRAGDON PRIMARY EXAMINER			